

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/295,690 | 04/21/1999 | JEROME A MOUTON JR. | 081862.P122 | 7482 | |
| 75 | 590 03/24/2006 | EXAMINER | | | |
| BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD SEVENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90025 | | | FLEURANTIN, JEAN B | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | • | | 2162 | | |

DATE MAILED: 03/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|--------------------|---------------|--|--|
| 09/295,690 | MOUTON ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| JEAN B. FLEURANTIN | 2162 | | |

| Before the Fining of all Appear Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | JEAN B. FLEURANTIN | 2162 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 08 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP | | | | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date | the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must of the final rejection. | Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Cl ust be filed within one | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | g date of the final rejecti | on. | | | |
| | Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi | iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | ns of the date of e appeal. Since | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | but prior to the date of filing a brief | will not be entered by | ecause | | | |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further co | | | 004400 | | | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); | | | | | | |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | | | the issues for | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | | ected claims. | | | | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | | (DTO) 00 A | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. | | mpliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | Post Charles | | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). | | - | _ | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: NONE. Claim(s) objected to: NONE. Claim(s) rejected: 1-17. | will not be entered, or b) in will will will will will will be entered. | ii be entered and an e | explanation of | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | It before or on the date of filing a North da | otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is | ot be entered s necessary and | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ils to provide a 1). | | | |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | if of the status of the claims after e | illy is below or allaci | ieu. | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | it does NOT place the application in | n condition for allowa | nce because: | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other: | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N | lo(s) | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Before amendment claim recites: a method, comprising: updating a message from a first version to an

upgraded version by chaining through intermediate versions."

Adding limitations "a method for upgrading a database, comprising: updating a message from a first version to an upgraded version by chaining through intermediate versions." these subject matter change the scope and raise new issues that would require further consideration.

PRIMARY EXAMINER